

*Desert Grape Growers League
of California*

September 11, 2006

Marketing Order Administration Branch
Fruit and Vegetable Programs
Agricultural Marketing Service
U.S. Department of Agriculture
1400 Independence Avenue, S. W. STOP 0237
Washington, D. C. 20250-0237

Dear Sir/Madam:

Re: Proposed Change in Marketing Order Effective Date for Grapes Grown in a
Designated Area of Southeastern California and Imported Table Grapes
Docket No. FV03-925-1 PR
Federal Register Notices of May 25, 2005, p. 30001; July 25, 2005, p. 42513;
September 27, 2005, p. 56378; and July 11, 2006, p. 39019

On behalf of the Desert Grape Growers League (DGGL), I am submitting the following comments in full support of the above-mentioned proposed regulation.

History

On October 11, 2002, DGGL requested the Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) to issue a proposed regulation to change the effective date of the table grape marketing order from April 20-August 15 to April 1-July 10. We explained that the request was being made because a substantial amount of uninspected imported table grapes from Chile was in the U.S. market at the time the table grape marketing order was in effect.

On January 3, 2003, DGGL submitted a further letter to AMS with extensive data supporting the League's request for a change in the effective date period.

In response to this request and the submitted data, AMS issued a proposed rule on May 25, 2005, with a comment period ending July 25, 2005. However, on July 25, 2005, AMS published an extension of the comment period through September 25, 2005, at the request of the Chilean government and ASOEX (Asociacion de Exportadores de Chile AG), a trade association of Chilean fruit growers and fresh fruit exporters. This request was made to provide more time for the Chilean government and ASOEX to provide comment on the proposed rule. On September 27, 2005, AMS agreed to a further ASOEX request for an extension of time to comment and AMS granted an extension through November 28, 2005. During this latest period of time, ASOEX had filed a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request with AMS to obtain a number of documents that had been submitted by DGGL on January 3, 2003, in support of the request for a change in the effective date period. The agency suspended action on the proposed rule until the

U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) resolved the FOIA matter, and on July 11, 2006, issued still a further extension of the comment period until September 11, 2006.

A major portion of the information requested by ASOEX was data the domestic industry had obtained from EXIMFRUIT, a publication of Sermaco, a Chilean company based in Santiago, Chile, that tracks Chilean table grape exports to the U.S. and is available to anyone who wishes to subscribe. ASOEX was aware that DGGL information was provided by EXIMFRUIT. DGGL wishes to point out that this information could have been readily sourced by ASOEX and suspects that the information was already available to the association.

Documents Previously Submitted In Support Of The Proposed Rule

DGGL has provided AMS with extensive data (January 3, 2003, and November 28, 2005) in support of the proposed rule and will not repeat that information in this letter.

As I have stated previously, on January 3, 2003 (over three and a half years ago), DGGL provided AMS with a number of documents that indicated a high voluntary inspection failure rate of Chilean table grape imports and the fact uninspected grapes were in the market during the marketing order period competing against U.S. and Mexican inspected grapes:

- We provided data from the USDA Agriculture Market News Service (Attachment A to the January 3, 2005 letter) indicating that non-exempt imported table grapes were in the domestic market during May and June. We provided Sermaco data on Chilean table grape shipments to the U.S. and noted that after the April 20 effective date period there was a significant decrease in imports;
- We provided a summary (Attachments B and C) of Chilean table grape inspections at the port of Philadelphia where historically the greatest percentage of Chilean table grapes enter the U.S. We noted that inspection failure rates in early April through April 19 for the years 2000-2002 ranged from 64-90% and that the inspections covered approximately 28% of imported Chilean table grapes.
- We submitted reports by the University of Florida, the Economic Research Service, USDA, and the Food Marketing Institute concerning the importance of quality standards in marketing orders and the impact of poor quality fruit on retailers and consumers.

When DGGL believed that the comment period on the proposed rule would end on November 28, 2005, we submitted additional comment to AMS:

- We noted that from 1987 (when the effective date was last changed) to 2005, the number of Coachella Valley table grape family farmers dropped 45% and the number of acres in grape production dropped 55%, primarily due to the adverse effect of below grade Chilean table grape imports at the onset of the Coachella Valley season.

- We discussed the fact imported grapes receive the same shipping point inspection as Coachella and Mexican table grapes, i.e., just prior to entering the U.S. domestic market.
- We countered the opposition's position¹ that there are no domestic grapes in the market at the time of the Chilean imports by stating the law and the fact there is no requirement in the regulations that such a requirement be met. We discussed the 1988 U.S. District Court case (Cal-Fruit Suma International et al. vs. U.S. Department of Agriculture, October 11, 1988) that explicitly stated the Congressional intent behind Section 608e(1) was that imports not thwart the goals of the AMAA (Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937 (7 U.S.C. 601-674)).
- We also noted the court's comments discounting the complainant's contention that the proposed change in the effective date of the marketing order violated the Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade of the GATT (WTO).
- We noted the opposition's statement that moving the effective date would eliminate Chilean table grapes in the U.S. market and we provided data on the continued increase in Chilean table grape imports when the 1987 change was implemented. We also noted that the increase in Chilean table grape imports from 1987 to 2005 (for the months March, April and May) had increased 166%.
- We countered the opposition's statement that the proposed change would "shorten" the marketing season by providing information on the Chilean table grape industry's ability to provide quality grapes to other countries that impose a quality standard year-round.
- We pointed out that the opposition's support of Subsection (b)(2) of Section 608(e) did not take into account the very nature of different varieties of fruits that precludes their being available at various times of the year regardless of the date of the marketing order.
- In response to a concern about inspection fees, we pointed out that the fees for domestic and imported grapes are identical.
- We countered the opposition's comments that the proposed rule was not necessary because of prices received by the Coachella growers.

¹ The opposition statements referred to in these notations are those that have been filed with AMS on the proposed rule.

- We provided a copy of the European Union's year-round quality standard for table grapes that is essentially identical to that of the Coachella Valley table grape marketing requirements.
- Lastly, and very importantly, we noted that the Chilean industry representative (in a statement filed with AMS on the proposed rule) had invented his own standard regarding the 35-day extension of the marketing order. The representative came up with an idea, nowhere stated in law or the regulations, which he conceived to be helpful to the Chilean industry.

DGGL expressed then and expresses now confidence that AMS will base its decision on the proposed rule in accordance with the exact word of law and Congressional intent.

In addition to the above comments, DGGL wishes to include in the record updated information and further comment on the proposed rule.

Secretary's Consideration Of Issues For Marketing Order Extension

Section 608e-1 (b)(2) of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938 (7 U.S.C. 6083-1) states that in making a determination of an additional time period for coverage of a marketing order, the Secretary of Agriculture shall consider (emphasis added) the following three issues: (1) to what extent, during the previous year, imports did not meet the requirements of the marketing order, (2) whether the imports circumvented, or was likely to circumvent, the requirements of the marketing order, and (3) the availability and price of commodities of the variety covered by the marketing order during any additional time the requirements would be in effect.

The law does not state that the Secretary of Agriculture must make a decision on the extension of the time period of a marketing order based on these three issues - it merely says that the Secretary "shall consider" the issues. In this regard, DGGL wishes to comment on each.

Imports not meeting Marketing Order Requirements/Circumvention

First, DGGL has provided AMS previously with data on Chilean table grape shipments and has noted that shipments from Chile drop markedly on April 20, the current effective date of the marketing order. The size of the shipments in March and early April prior to the effective date period does not allow for the entire consumption of the grapes before April 20. Therefore, there are sizeable amounts of uninspected grapes in the domestic market at the same time that Coachella and Mexican grapes are required to be inspected. This is a direct circumvention of the marketing order.

With further regard to this issue, USDA has not determined what is meant in the law by the "previous year." The proposed rule was first announced in the Federal Register on May 25, 2005.

Since the Coachella Valley was harvesting and marketing at that time, DGGL assumes that the logical meaning of the term "previous year" would be the 2004 marketing season. However, the proposed rule has been delayed and it is now September 2006. Will USDA look at 2005, or 2006 (since this year's season is complete) as the "previous year" when taking final action on the proposed rule? Both 2005 and 2006 can be considered as previous years so we have provided trade statistics for both years.

In light of the uncertainty of USDA's decision on its interpretation of the law, DGGL first provided AMS with data on Chilean table grape imports for the years 2000 through 2004 because that data was available at the time we submitted a request to AMS for a change in the effective date. As a result of the September 27, 2005 extension, we provided information on marketing year 2005. Because of the prolonged extension of time on the proposed rule, we are attaching to this letter additional data from the California Table Grape Commission on 2006 Chilean grapes in the domestic market at the start of the effective date period. (Attachment A). Additionally, we are providing 2006 data from the USDA Market News Service (Attachment B) indicating the presence of non-exempt Chilean grapes in the U.S. market at the time the marketing order goes into effect. This combined data continues to show that there are uninspected non-exempt Chilean grapes in the U.S. market at the onset of the marketing order period. Why would non-exempt grapes stop on April 19? Clearly it is to evade the inspection. Again, this is clearly circumvention of the marketing order requirements.

DGGL has provided information previously to AMS from EXIMFRUIT, Analisis de Exportaciones Fruticolas, on the amount and condition of Chilean table grapes in the U.S. market just prior to and during the effective date period.

According to the EXIMFRUIT 2005 report, the prices of Thompson grapes ranged from \$0 to \$30 during the week of April 11-17 and many had condition problems. In early May large amounts of Thompson grapes were dumped, and the report says other large lots were in cold storage and would likely be dumped.

The 2006 EXIMFRUIT report notes that in April there were "gigantic" volumes of Crimson grapes imported "which was credit to the imminent enforcement of the marketing order." It was noted that the week of April 10 approximately 1.4 million cases of Thompson grapes were imported and that many were left in cold storage and that the condition of many was bad. Finally, the report notes that at the beginning of May there were still abundant stocks of Thompson and Crimson table grapes.

DGGL has noted that in opposition statements presented to AMS on the proposed rule it was alleged that there is no "overlap" in the Chilean and Coachella marketing period and thus there is no circumvention. In response to this allegation, I wish to point out that in the 1988 federal district court case mentioned earlier, this issue was addressed. The court noted the following:

"That statute, §602 (4), provides that the Secretary should:

"establish and maintain such orderly marketing conditions for Any agricultural product enumerated in section 608c(2) of this title as will provide, in the interests of producers and consumers, an orderly flow of the supply thereof to market throughout its normal marketing season to avoid unreasonable fluctuations in supplies and prices."

"Rather than narrowly restricting the application of marketing orders to the "normal marketing season", as plaintiffs would interpret it, §602(4) contemplates that marketing orders should be designed to embrace all conditions that will impact on price and supply fluctuations within the normal marketing season." This fully counters the opposition's statement in this regard.

Availability and Price if Marketing Order Period Extended

Regarding the availability and price of grape varieties covered by the marketing order during any additional period, I am attaching comments (Attachment C) from agricultural economist Edmond Missiaen that refute the suggestion in the AMS record on this proposal from ASOEX contending that a change in the effective date would cause a significant drop in supplies and higher prices in April and early May. DGGL supports his view that these contentions are based on false premises and faulty analysis.

DGGL has provided data in a previous submission to AMS that table grape imports from Chile have in fact increased substantially since the last change was made in the effective date period. Over the last ten years (1996-2006), Chilean grape imports during March, April and May more than doubled (from 15,457,303 boxes to 31,443,301 boxes) and import value during this period increased almost three-fold (from \$111.6 million to \$329.3 million).


Availability of Supply

A change in the effective date from April 20 to April 1 will not affect the availability of Chilean table grapes in this country. **It means only that the grapes entering the U.S. will be subject to mandatory inspection.**

Attachment D indicates the number of boxes of Chilean table grapes that were shipped to the Philadelphia Customs District April 1 through April 19 for the years 2000-2006. These dates are the 14th through 16th week of the Chilean marketing year. The attachment also shows the failure rates of those imports voluntarily inspected during that period of time. The failure rates have varied in different years primarily because of the impacts of the weather on table grape harvests. Over the last few years, however, approximately one-third of the imports from April 1-April 19 were voluntarily inspected and the failure rate for those inspected during that time period ranged from 42% to 87%.

Using the above data, DGGL has constructed the following graph to more clearly show the following:

**Philadelphia Customs District: Arrivals of Nonexempt Table Grapes from Chile
Week 14, 15 and 16***



Note: Weeks 14 through 16 represent approximately April 1 through April 19.

Explanatory boxes:

Passed = Not inspected or passed voluntary inspection Failed = Failed voluntary inspection (but not rejected for entry to U.S.) Eligible to enter = eligible to enter U.S. commerce If mandatory inspections had been in place in 2006, the "failed" quantities would not have been allowed entry.

What if mandatory inspections had been in place in 2006?

The “Eligible to Enter” line on the graph shows Chilean nonexempt grapes allowed to enter the U.S. commerce during the 3-week period. If mandatory inspections had been imposed on April 1 in 2006, imports entering the U.S. likely would have been close to 8.7 million boxes (assuming voluntary inspection failures would have been denied entry). Even with mandatory inspection, imports in 2006 would have been higher than in any of the previous 6 years.

- Shipments of imported Chilean table grapes have increased over the years.
- We assume most of the failed lots entered the market and sold for less than the U.S. No. 1 table grape price, or were reworked.
- Looking at 2006, if the marketing order had been in effect on April 1, 2006, there would have been no shortage of Chilean grapes in U.S. commerce, compared to previous years, even though the quantity of failed voluntary inspections was almost double 2005 failures for the 3-week period. The quantity available to U.S. consumers in 2006 would have exceeded availability in any of the previous 6 years even if none of the failed lots were reworked.

The graph indicates that the contention of ASOEX that Chilean table grape shipments would be drastically reduced if the marketing order went into effect on April 1 is erroneous.

As mentioned earlier, boxes of imported (as well as domestic) table grapes that fail inspection can be reworked and those grapes that are inferior are either destroyed or re-exported. Reworking the grapes means that more boxes can be made available to the American public.

Finally, the Chileans allege that if the date of the marketing order were changed to April 1, all shipments of table grapes would be halted. Based on the 2006 shipping season, 5% or 2.8 million boxes of Chilean grapes entered and received mandatory inspection. If the change in the effective date had been effective April 1, an additional 8.6 million boxes would have received mandatory inspection. It is unreasonable to believe that this 15% increase in mandatory inspection would cause the Chileans to stop all shipments of table grapes during this period.

Price to Consumers

Since DGGL believes the proposed rule will result in no significant decrease in Chilean grape imports, there will thus be no resulting increased costs to American consumers for the purchase of table grapes. As AMS has been advised previously, there is no regulation regarding the prices of table grapes to consumers. Prices are determined at retail grocery stores, and are based primarily on supply, consumer demand, and condition of the table grapes. Since supply would be only slightly affected by a change in the inspection date, there likely would be little or no effect on consumer prices. However, there could be fewer very low priced grapes on the market because substandard grapes would be denied entry at the tail end of the season.

The proposed rule would ensure that high quality grapes would be available to the American consumer during the Coachella marketing period.

Lack of Consumer Recognition of Varieties

With regard to the TRD Frameworks study mentioned by Mr. Missiaen, I want to stress that the study (Attachment E) revealed that the two most important reasons for selection of table grapes are the overall appearance of the grapes and whether they are seeded or seedless. The study noted that over half of consumers cannot name a variety of grape on an unaided basis (emphasis added) and over one-third do not recognize any varieties even when aided with the names.

Thompson Seedless is recognized by only one-third of consumers when aided (emphasis added). This study clearly refutes the claim of ASOEX that consumers can differentiate between Perlettes and Thompsons. A white seedless grape is a white seedless grape.

DGGL fully supports the November 28, 2005 statement submitted to AMS on the proposed rule by the California Desert Grape Administrative Committee. That statement provided extensive information on Chilean table grapes that had failed inspection in the years 2003, 2004, and 2005. It provided narrative information from EXIMFRUIT on storage of Chilean grapes in the U.S. and the condition of the grapes in those years. The Committee also filed articles from The Packer, a U.S. fruit and vegetable publication, that discussed Chilean grapes being in the domestic market as late as June in some years. DGGL concurs with the Committee's views that the effective date change in the proposed rule would not affect the Philadelphia/Delaware/New Jersey port areas. The Committee correctly noted the tremendous increase in Chilean table grape imports from 1987 (when the last effective date change was made) to 2005, which was over 166%. Including 2006, the increase has been 197%.

DGGL believes that ASOEX and its representatives have purposely delayed action on the proposed rule, especially since almost all of the information requested from AMS was publicly available. In fact, ASOEX is quoted in a Chilean newspaper in December 2005 (Attachment F) as saying it had scored a "small victory" in having the proposed rule delayed.


In conclusion, ASOEX is presenting USDA with a Hobson's choice - either allow all Chilean table grapes, whether good or bad, to enter the U.S. or risk the loss of the Chilean table grape market. The choice is totally ridiculous. Chile has a very modern and efficient table grape industry and can produce quality grapes when required to do so as it does to Europe where a quality standard is in place year-round. (Attachment G). Chile had no problem when the effective date was changed from May 1 to April 20, and its increased production and U.S. market share growth over the last few years mean there will be no decrease in the boxes of table grapes that are made available to the U.S.

ASOEX and the Chilean table grape industry know fully well that this rule is not to prohibit imports but to ensure that only quality grapes are made available to American consumers. Our industry has been accused of "skewing" inspection failures, but the data DGGL has used is official data of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Facts are facts and do not lie.

DGGL urges the department to act favorably on the proposed rule as soon as possible following the close of the comment period.

Thank you for your full consideration to these comments.

Sincerely,



Robert Bianco
President

Grape Market Activity Report - 2006										
Date	Mkt	California				Chile				Other
		Variety	Cond	Store	Price	Variety	Cond	Store	Price	
May 19	E					BSdl	G	20%	\$2.99	
May 19	E					Cri	G	100%	\$0.96-2.99	
May 19	E					RD	E	100%	\$0.99-2.49	
May 19	E					Th	G	60%	\$1.99-3.69	
May 19	C					Ar	P	17%	\$1.99	
May 19	C					BSdl	A	17%	\$1.69	
May 19	C					Cri	F	100%	\$0.99-1.99	
May 19	C					RD	G	83%	\$0.99-1.99	
May 19	C					Th	A	83%	\$1.69-2.49	
May 19	W					BSdl	A	17%	\$2.99	
May 19	W					Cri	G	83%	\$0.99-3.99	
May 19	W					GSdl	G	17%	\$3.99	
May 19	W					Mu	A	33%	\$3.99	
May 19	W					RD	A	50%	\$1.30-3.99	
May 19	W					RSdl	A	17%	\$2.99	
May 19	W					Sug	A/G	33%	\$3.49-3.99	
May 19	W					Th	A/E	50%	\$1.75-3.99	
May 26	E					BSdl	A	50%	\$1.99-2.49	
May 26	E					Cri	G	83%	\$1.44-1.99	X
May 26	E					RG	E	33%	\$1.99-2.49	
May 26	E					Th	F	33%	\$0.98-2.49	
May 26	C	Per	E	20%	\$2.49	Cri	G	100%	\$1.44-2.99	
May 26	C					Per	E	20%	\$2.49	X
May 26	C					RG	A	60%	\$1.98-2.49	
May 26	W					Cri	A	83%	\$1.44-2.99	X
May 26	W					RG	F/G	50%	\$2.49	
May 26	W					Th	F/A	50%	\$2.49-3.99	X
June 2	E	Per	A	17%	\$3.99	BSdl	A	17%	\$1.99	X
June 2	E					Cri	G	100%	\$1.50-2.49	
June 2	E					RG	G	83%	\$1.16-2.49	
June 2	E					Th	A	17%	\$2.99	
June 2	C	Fla	E	20%	\$1.89	Cri	A	40%	\$1.86-2.49	X
June 2	W	BeSdl	A	17%	\$4.99	Cri	A/E	33%	\$0.98-1.75	
June 2	W	Fla	A/E	83%	\$0.98-3.99	RG	A/E	50%	\$1.00-2.99	
June 2	W	Per	G/E	100%	\$1.99-3.99					
June 9	E	BSdl	G	20%	\$1.98	Cri	G	20%	\$1.75	
June 9	E	Fla	E	20%	\$1.44					X
June 9	S	Fla	E	17%	\$1.99	Cri	G	17%	\$2.12	X
June 9	S	Sugn	G	17%	\$1.99					
June 9	W					Cri	G	33%	\$1.75-1.98	
June 9	W					RG	G	17%	\$1.98	
June 16	E	Fan	E	33%	\$1.98-3.99					X
June 16	E	Fla	E	17%	\$2.48					
June 16	C	Fla	E	40%	\$0.99-2.00	RG	A	20%	\$1.64	X
June 16	C	RG	E	40%	\$1.99					X
June 16	C	Sug	E	20%	\$2.99					X
June 16	W	Fla	A	50%	\$2.49-2.99	Cri	G	17%	\$1.62	X
June 16	W	Per	G	50%	\$1.99-3.99	RG	P	17%	\$1.98	X
June 16	W	Sug	A	50%	\$2.99-3.99					
June 16	W	Th	A	17%	\$2.99					X
June 23	E	Fla	E	33%	\$0.99-1.45					X
June 23	E	Th	E	17%	\$1.50					X
June 23	C	Fan	E	20%	\$4.99					
June 23	C	Fla	E	60%	\$1.76-4.99					X

June 23	C	Sug	E	40%	\$1.97-4.99	
June 23	C	Th	G	20%	\$1.76	X
June 23	W	Fan	G	40%	\$1.97-2.49	X
June 23	W	Fla	E	40%	\$2.49-2.99	X
June 23	W	Sug	E	40%	\$2.99	
June 23	W	Th	G	20%	\$1.50	X
July 7	E	Fan	E	33%	\$2.99-3.99	X
July 7	E	Fla	E	67%	\$2.00-3.99	X
July 7	E	Th	E	33%	\$2.00-2.99	X
July 7	C	Sug	E	20%	\$2.12	
July 7	C	Sugr	G	20%	\$2.49	
July 7	W	Fla	E	17%	\$2.99	X
July 7	W	Th	G	17%	\$2.99	X
July 14	E	BSdl	G	17%	\$3.99	X
July 14	E	Fla	A	50%	\$2.99-3.99	X
July 14	E	Fla O	G	17%	\$3.99	X
July 14	E	RSdl	G	17%	\$3.99	
July 14	E	Sug	A	50%	\$2.99-3.99	X
July 14	E	Sug O	G	17%	\$3.99	
July 14	E	Th	G	33%	\$2.00-3.99	
July 14	C	BeSdl	A	17%	\$2.99	
July 14	C	BSdl	G	17%	\$1.99	
July 14	C	Fla	E	50%	\$0.99-1.59	X
July 14	C	Sugn	G	17%	\$2.49	
July 14	C	Th	E	33%	\$1.88-2.49	X
July 14	W	BSdl	E	17%	\$2.99	
July 14	W	BSdl O	G	17%	\$5.99	
July 14	W	Fla	E	50%	\$2.89-3.49	X
July 14	W	Fla O	G	17%	\$4.99	
July 14	W	Sugn	E	17%	\$3.49	
July 14	W	Sug	E	17%	\$2.89	
July 14	W	Sugr	E	17%	\$2.99	
July 14	W	Th	G	83%	\$2.00-7.49	
July 14	W	Th O	G	17%	\$4.99	
July 21	E	BeSdl	G	33%	\$2.49-2.99	X
July 21	E	Fla	G	100%	\$1.99-3.99	
July 21	E	Fla O	G	17%	\$3.99	
July 21	E	Th	G	83%	\$2.29-3.99	X
July 21	C	BeSdl	E	40%	\$1.99-2.79	
July 21	C	Fla	E	100%	\$1.90-1.99	X
July 21	C	Fla O	G	40%	\$199-2.79	
July 21	C	Sug	E	20%	\$1.99	
July 21	C	Th	E	60%	\$1.47-1.99	
July 21	C	Th O	G	20%	\$2.79	
July 21	W	Fla	G/E	83%	\$1.37-3.99	
July 21	W	Prin	E	33%	\$2.98-3.99	
July 21	W	RG	E	17%	\$1.75	
July 21	W	Sug	E	33%	\$2.99-3.99	
July 21	W	Sugn	E	17%	\$2.98	
July 21	W	Th	G	17%	\$1.75	X

Prepared by the Desert Grape Growers League of California using data from California Table Grape Commission, Grape Market Activity Reports 2008

U.S. Terminal Market Report for Non-Exempt Chilean Grapes - 2006
Week 16 - Season End

Commodity Name	Origin	City Name	Package	Variety	Week Ending on	Low Price	High Price	Item Size
GRAPE\$	CHILE	ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	19.5	21	LGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	14.5	15.5	LGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	17	17.5	XLGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	RED SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	15	18	LGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	15.75	17	LGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	ATLANTA	16 lb containers wrapped	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	12.5	12.5	XLGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	ATLANTA	16 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	16.5	18.5	XLGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	14.5	18	LGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	17	19.5	XLGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	4/22/2006	20	20	XLGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	17	17	XLGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	17	17	LGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	15	15	MED
GRAPE\$	CHILE	BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	17	17	LGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	17	17	XLGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	4/22/2006	19	20	XLGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	16	16	LGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	14	14	MED-LGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	18	19	XLGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	PRINCESS	4/22/2006	18	19	XLGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	15	16	LGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	18	20	XLGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	CHICAGO	18 lb cartons/lugs	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	21	22	XLGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	CHICAGO	18 lb cartons/lugs	FLAME SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	10	12	MED
GRAPE\$	CHILE	CHICAGO	18 lb lugs	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	10	12	SML-MED
GRAPE\$	CHILE	CHICAGO	18 lb lugs	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	24	25	XLGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	COLUMBIA	18 lb containers bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	15	17.5	MED
GRAPE\$	CHILE	COLUMBIA	18 lb containers bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	17.5	17.5	MED
GRAPE\$	CHILE	COLUMBIA	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	16	17.5	MED
GRAPE\$	CHILE	DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	26.25	26.25	LGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	21.75	21.75	LGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	20.75	20.75	LGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	16	16	SML
GRAPE\$	CHILE	DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	RED SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	18.75	18.75	LGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	RED SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	16.5	16.5	MED
GRAPE\$	CHILE	DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	19	19	LGE
GRAPE\$	CHILE	DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	14.5	14.5	MED

GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	4/22/2006	18	20	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	4/22/2006	20	22	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	16	16	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	16	18	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	16	18	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	14	16	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	20	22	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	RUBY RED SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	14	16	5 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	16 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	26	28	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	18	20	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	17	19	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	20	22	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	18	18	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	18	18	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	16	18	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	14	14	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	18	20	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	16	16	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	12	13	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	14	16	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	12	14	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	16	18	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	MIAMI	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	17	18	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	MIAMI	18 lb lugs bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	17	18	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	18	19	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb lugs bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	4/22/2006	18	20	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	18	18	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	18	20	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	12	12	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	14	16	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	16	18	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	10	12	SML
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	18	20	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	10	16	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	4/22/2006	22	24	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	4/22/2006	16	16	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	14	14	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	16	18	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	12	12	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	16	16	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	22	22	XLGE

GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	RED SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	18	20	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	RED SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	14	14	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	8	10	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	12	12	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	18	22	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	14	16	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	24	28	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	20	20	5 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	16	17	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	ROYAL RED SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	13	13	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	16	17	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	4/22/2006	20	22.5	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	20	22.5	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	18	18.5	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	20	20	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	22	22	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	MUSCAT SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	22.5	22.5	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	18.5	18.5	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	20	22	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	25	29	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	17	18	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	20	22	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	17.5	18.5	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	22	24	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	16	18	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	22	24	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	18.5	19.9	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	15.25	17.25	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	17.25	19	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	15.5	16.9	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	19.9	19.9	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	13.5	16	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/22/2006	17.9	18.25	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	20	21	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	14.5	15.5	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	16.5	17.5	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	RED SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	15	15.75	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	15.75	15.75	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ATLANTA	16 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	16.5	16.5	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	17	19	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	19.5	20.5	XLGE

GRAPES	CHILE	BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	15	15	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	16	17	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	15	17	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	17	17	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	14	19	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	4/29/2006	18	20	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	16	16	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	14	14	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	18	19	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	BOSTON	16 lb containers	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	18	20	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	BOSTON	16 lb containers	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	24	26	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	15	16	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	21	26	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	CHICAGO	18 lb cartons/lugs	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	10	12	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	CHICAGO	18 lb cartons/lugs	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	18.5	19	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	CHICAGO	18 lb cartons/lugs	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	8	11	SML-MED
GRAPES	CHILE	CHICAGO	18 lb cartons/lugs	FLAME SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	9	10	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	CHICAGO	18 lb lugs	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	9	10	SML-MED
GRAPES	CHILE	CHICAGO	18 lb lugs	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	28	28	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	COLUMBIA	18 lb containers bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	16.5	16.5	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	COLUMBIA	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	19.5	19.5	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	26.25	26.25	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	21.75	21.75	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	17	17	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	13	13	SML
GRAPES	CHILE	DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	20.75	20.75	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	16	16	SML
GRAPES	CHILE	DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	RED SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	18.75	18.75	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	RED SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	16.5	17	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	19	19	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	15	15	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	4/29/2006	20	22	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	21	22	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	16 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	21	22	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	17	20	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	14	15	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	20	22	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	18 lb lugs bagged	PRINCESS	4/29/2006	27	28	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	16 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	28	30	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	9	10	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	8	10	LGE

GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	8	10 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	18	24 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	15	16 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	WHITE SEEDLESS TYPE	4/29/2006	13	14 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	18	18 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	16	18 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	14	14 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	18	20 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	14	16 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	12	14 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	16	18 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE MIAMI	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	17	18 MED
GRAPES	CHILE MIAMI	18 lb lugs bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	17	18 MED
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	19	19 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	4/29/2006	18	20 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	18	20 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	16	16 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	16	18 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	14	16 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	12	14 SML-MED
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	16	18 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	20	22 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	14	16 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	24	26 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	4/29/2006	18	20 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	4/29/2006	14	14 MED
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	16	16 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	16	20 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	16	16 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	12	12 MED
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	12	12 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	22	24 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	RED SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	18	20 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	RED SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	14	14 MED
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	RED SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	16	16 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	8	10 MED
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	12	14 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	24	25 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	12	16 MED
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	18	22 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	28	32 XLGE

GRAPES	CHILE PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	20	20.5 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	16.5	17 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	ROYAL RED SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	13	13 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	16	17 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	12	12 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	4/29/2006	20	20.5 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	20	20.5 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	20	20.5 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	MUSCAT SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	18.5	18.5 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	RED SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	19.5	19.5 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	18.5	18.5 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	24	26 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	25	26 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	25	26 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	23	25 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	17.5	20 MED
GRAPES	CHILE SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	22	25 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	16	20 MED
GRAPES	CHILE SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	22	25 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK GLOBE	4/29/2006	19.9	19.9 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	18.5	18.5 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	15.25	15.5 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	17.25	17.9 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	15.5	15.5 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	19.9	19.9 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	4/29/2006	17.9	19.5 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	18.25	19.5 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	14.5	15.5 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	16.5	17.5 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE ATLANTA	16 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	16.5	16.5 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	16	16.5 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	18	19 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	5/6/2006	19	21 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	15	15 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	16	17 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE BALTIMORE	16 lb containers	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	28	30 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	22	22 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	30	30 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	5/6/2006	18	18 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	16	16 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	14	14 MED-LGE

GRAPES	CHILE BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	18	18 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE BOSTON	16 lb containers	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	24	26 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	19	20 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	24	24 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE CHICAGO	18 lb cartons/lugs	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	11	12 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE CHICAGO	18 lb cartons/lugs	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	18.5	19 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE CHICAGO	18 lb cartons/lugs	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	8	10 SML-MED
GRAPES	CHILE CHICAGO	18 lb cartons/lugs	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	9	10 MED
GRAPES	CHILE CHICAGO	18 lb lugs	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	8	10 SML-MED
GRAPES	CHILE CHICAGO	18 lb lugs	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	30	32 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE COLUMBIA	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	16	16 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE COLUMBIA	18 lb containers bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	16	16 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE COLUMBIA	8 kg containers	RED SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	16	16 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE COLUMBIA	18 lb containers bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	16.5	16.5 MED
GRAPES	CHILE COLUMBIA	8 kg containers	RUBY SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	16.5	16.5 MED
GRAPES	CHILE COLUMBIA	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	18.5	18.5 MED
GRAPES	CHILE COLUMBIA	8 kg containers	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	18.5	18.5 MED
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	15.75	15.75 MED
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	17	17 MED
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	13	13 SML
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	20.75	20.75 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	RED SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	18.75	18.75 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	RED SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	17	17 MED
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	19	19 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	14	14 MED
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	5/6/2006	18	20 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	5/6/2006	20	22 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	20	20 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	16 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	21	22 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	14	18 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	12	14 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	18	22 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	ROYAL RED SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	12	12 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	12	14 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	16 lb containers wrapped	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	25	26 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	16 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	28	30 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	9	10 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	16	28 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	16	18 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	12	14 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	18	18 XLGE

GRAPES	CHILE	LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	14	16	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	16	18	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	MIAMI	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	15	18	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	MIAMI	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	19	19	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	5/6/2006	16	18	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	5/6/2006	18	20	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	18	20	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	16	16	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	16	18	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	14	16	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	10	14	SML-MED
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	16	16	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	22	24	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	14	16	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	28	30	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	NEW YORK	18 lb lugs wrapped	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	26	26	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	5/6/2006	12	12	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	5/6/2006	16	16	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	18	18	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	20	22	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	14	14	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	12	12	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	20	22	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	RED SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	14	14	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	RED SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	16	16	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	16	16	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	8	10	MED
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	12	12	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	20	20	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	28	28	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	20	20	5 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	16.5	18	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	RED SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	17.25	17.25	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	ROYAL RED SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	20	21	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	16	25	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	12	12	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	5/6/2006	20	20	5 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	20	20	5 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	20	20	5 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	MUSCAT SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	18.5	18.5	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	RED SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	19.5	19.5	XLGE

GRAPES	CHILE SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	18.5	18.5 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	24	24 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	25	26 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	23	25 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	23	25 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	19	20 MED
GRAPES	CHILE SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	23	25 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	23	25 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	19	20 MED
GRAPES	CHILE SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	23	25 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	19.9	21.9 MED
GRAPES	CHILE ST LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	18	19.9 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	14	16.25 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	17.25	17.9 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	19.9	19.9 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	5/6/2006	17.9	21.5 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	18.25	19.5 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	14.5	15.5 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	16.5	17.5 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	15.5	16 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	18	18 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	5/13/2006	19	19 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	14	15 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	17	17 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE BALTIMORE	16 lb containers	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	20	22 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE BALTIMORE	16 lb containers	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	25	25 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE BALTIMORE	16 lb containers	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	22	28 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE BOSTON	18 lb containers	WHITE SEEDLESS TYPE	5/13/2006	20	22 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE BOSTON	18 lb containers	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	14	16 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE BOSTON	18 lb containers	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	12	14 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	16	18 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	24	25 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	19	20 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	22	24 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE CHICAGO	18 lb cartons/lugs	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	18.5	19 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE CHICAGO	18 lb cartons/lugs	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	14	16 SML-MED
GRAPES	CHILE CHICAGO	18 lb lugs	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	8	10 SML-MED
GRAPES	CHILE CHICAGO	18 lb lugs	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	28	30 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE COLUMBIA	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	16.5	16.5 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE COLUMBIA	18 lb containers bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	16.5	16.5 MED
GRAPES	CHILE COLUMBIA	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	19	20 MED

GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	15.75	15.75 MED
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	17	20.75 MED
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	13	13 SML
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	20.75	26.75 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	20.75	20.75 SML-MED
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	PRINCESS	5/13/2006	25.75	25.75 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	RED SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	18	18.75 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	RED SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	17	17 MED
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	18.75	19 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	14	15 MED
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	16 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	14	16 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	14	16 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	12	14 MED
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	12	14 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	18	20 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	12	14 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	16 lb containers wrapped	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	25	26 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	3	7 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	26	28 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	16	16 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	14	16 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	12	12 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	16	18 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE MIAMI	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	15	16 MED
GRAPES	CHILE MIAMI	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	19	19 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	5/13/2006	16	18 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	5/13/2006	18	20 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	16	18 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	12	16 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	10	12 SML-MED
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	8	10 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	22	24 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	14	18 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb lugs wrapped	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	24	30 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	5/13/2006	26	26 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	5/13/2006	14	14 MED
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	16	16 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	14	16 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	12	12 MED
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	20	20 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	8	10 MED

GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	12	12 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	20	20 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	30	30 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	19	20.5 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	16	18 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PITTSBURGH	20-21 lb lugs wrapped	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	17	17 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	RED SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	16	17.25 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	ROYAL RED SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	20	21 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	24	28 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	18	18 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	19.5	21.5 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	MUSCAT SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	16.5	16.5 MED
GRAPES	CHILE	SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	25	26 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SEATTLE	16 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	23	23 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	23	25 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	23	25 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	19	20 MED
GRAPES	CHILE	ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	18	21.9 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	14	16.25 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	16.9	17.9 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	16.9	17.9 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/13/2006	21	30 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	19.5	23 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	14	15.5 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	16.5	18 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	16	16 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	18	18 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	AUTUMN ROYAL	5/20/2006	20	20 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	15	15 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	16	17 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	BALTIMORE	16 lb containers	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	22	24 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	22	24 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	14	14 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	18	18 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	22	22 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE	CHICAGO	18 lb cartons/lugs	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	14	15 SML-MED
GRAPES	CHILE	COLUMBIA	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	16.5	17.5 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE	COLUMBIA	18 lb containers bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	16.5	16.5 MED
GRAPES	CHILE	COLUMBIA	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	19	19 MED
GRAPES	CHILE	DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	20.75	20.75 MED
GRAPES	CHILE	DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	21.75	26.75 LGE

GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	21	21	SML-MED
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	PRINCESS	5/20/2006	25.75	25.75	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	RED SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	18.75	18.75	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	RED SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	17	18	MED
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	18.75	19	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	15	15	MED
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	16 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	16	18	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	15	16	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	12	14	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	18	20	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	RUBY SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	12	14	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	16 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	29	30	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	16 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	10	16	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	26	28	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	14	16	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	12	12	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	16	18	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE MIAMI	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	15	16	MED
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	19	19	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	16	18	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	12	14	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	20	22	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	16	18	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	23	25	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	16	18	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	10	10	MED
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	12	14	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	20	22	XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	RED SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	14	14	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	30	30	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	19	23	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	15.5	18	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PITTSBURGH	20-21 lb lugs wrapped	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	17	17	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	16	16	MED
GRAPES	CHILE PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	16	24	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	20	20	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	19.5	21.5	MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE SAN FRANCISCO	18 lb lugs bagged	MUSCAT SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	16.5	16.5	MED
GRAPES	CHILE SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	33	34	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	22	25	LGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	21.9	21.9	XLGE

GRAPES	CHILE ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	14	15.5 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	16.9	17.75 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST. LOUIS	16 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	21	21 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	17	17.9 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/20/2006	30	30 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	22	23 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	14.5	19 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	17	18 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	16	16 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE ATLANTA	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	16	18 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	17	17 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	20	22 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	13	14 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE BOSTON	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	16	18 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE CHICAGO	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	18	18.5 MED
GRAPES	CHILE CHICAGO	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	14	15 SML-MED
GRAPES	CHILE CHICAGO	18 lb cartons/lugs	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	18	18.5 MED
GRAPES	CHILE CHICAGO	18 lb cartons/lugs	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	14	15 SML-MED
GRAPES	CHILE COLUMBIA	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	18	19 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	FLAME SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	22	22 SML-MED
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	RED SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	18.5	18.75 MED
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	18.75	18.75 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	16	16.5 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	13	14 MED
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	18	18.5 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE DETROIT	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	13	14 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	14	16 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE LOS ANGELES	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	16	18 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE MIAMI	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	15	17 MED
GRAPES	CHILE MIAMI	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	19	19 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	16	18 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	12	16 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	15	22 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	16	18 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	23	25 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	14	15 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PHILADELPHIA	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	20	20 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	BLACK SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	23	23 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	15.5	16 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	16	16 MED-LGE

GRAPES	CHILE SEATTLE	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	22	23 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	16.9	17.75 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST. LOUIS	16 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	5/27/2006	21	21 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE CHICAGO	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	6/3/2006	18	18.5 MED
GRAPES	CHILE CHICAGO	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	6/3/2006	14	15 SML-MED
GRAPES	CHILE COLUMBIA	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	6/3/2006	22	24 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE COLUMBIA	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	6/3/2006	24	24 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	RED SEEDLESS	6/3/2006	18.5	23 MED
GRAPES	CHILE MIAMI	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	6/3/2006	19	19 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE MIAMI	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	6/3/2006	17	17 MED
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	6/3/2006	14	16 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE NEW YORK	18 lb containers bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	6/3/2006	15	15 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	6/3/2006	18	18 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE PITTSBURGH	18 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	6/3/2006	12.5	15 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE ST. LOUIS	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	6/3/2006	16.9	16.9 XLGE
GRAPES	CHILE BALTIMORE	18 lb containers bagged	RED SEEDLESS	6/10/2006	18	18 MED
GRAPES	CHILE COLUMBIA	18 lb containers bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	6/10/2006	25	25 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE DALLAS	18 lb containers bagged	RED SEEDLESS	6/10/2006	23	23 MED
GRAPES	CHILE MIAMI	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	6/10/2006	19	19 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE MIAMI	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	6/10/2006	17	17 MED
GRAPES	CHILE PITTSBURGH	18-19 lb lugs bagged	THOMPSON SEEDLESS	6/10/2006	23	23 MED-LGE
GRAPES	CHILE MIAMI	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	6/17/2006	19	19 LGE
GRAPES	CHILE MIAMI	18 lb lugs bagged	CRIMSON SEEDLESS	6/17/2006	17	17 MED

Source: Fruit and Vegetable Market News, Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA

Edmond Missiaen

Agricultural Economist

647 South Carolina Avenue, SE • Washington, DC 20003 • 202-548-6052 • missiaen@starpower.net

September 5, 2006

TO: Desert Grape Growers League

FROM: Edmond Missiaen

SUBJECT: Proposed change in regulatory periods for grapes grown in a designated area of Southeastern California – Klett Report

Submissions to the Marketing Order Administration Branch by ASOEX (Asociacion de Exportadores de Chile AG), in particular, the Daniel W. Klett Technical Report (*Effects of Changing the Desert Grape Marketing Order Date*), contend: (1) that changing the effective date of the Desert Grape Marketing Order from April 20 to April 1 would cause a significant drop in supplies and higher prices for table grapes in the United States in April and early May, and (2) that unregulated imports of table grapes from Chile have had no negative effect on prices received by growers for grapes grown in the Coachella Valley of California. These contentions are based on false premises and faulty analysis.

I. Moving the effective date for the marketing order will not adversely affect table grape availability in the U.S. market.

- Chilean grapes will continue to enter the U.S. market after April 1 if mandatory inspections commence on that date.

The Klett Technical Report and other ASOEX analyses are based on the implication that table grape imports from Chile would cease when inspections commence. The analyses equate import inspections to import prohibitions. Experience shows this is not the case:

- a) U.S. imports of Mexican table grapes actually increased after they became subject to inspection in 1983. Imports averaged 17,100 metric tons (2.1 million 18-lb lugs) per year in the 3 years following the initiation of inspections, up 34 percent from an average 12,800 tons (1.6 million boxes) in the 3 prior years. Imports continued to increase in subsequent years. The Mexican experience indicates that condition and quality inspections, by improving the quality of the product, can lead to improved sales.
 - b) In the European Union, where Chilean grapes are inspected for grade and quality throughout the season, late season grapes continue to enter despite the inspections.
- In the United States, grapes of all hues share a single consumer market; green and red grapes do not move in separate markets.

ASOEX concerns about depleted U.S. table grape supplies in April and May are based, in part, on the false premise of separate markets for green and red grapes. The organization's submissions allege a disproportionate effect on the market for green grapes because end-of-the-season Thompson Seedless grapes from Chile tend to be in poorer condition and have shorter storage life than the Crimson red grape variety.

A 2005 survey of table grape awareness and usage¹ indicates that color is a secondary factor in consumer purchase decisions. Only 4 percent of consumers surveyed identified color as the most

¹ TRD Frameworks, *Table Grapes Awareness and Usage Online Study Report*, December 2005

important attribute, whereas 31 percent said seedless versus seeded was the top priority, and 24 percent looked first for appearance. When all factors for selecting table grapes are taken into account, color came in sixth, trailing seedless vs. seeded, appearance, price, taste and sweetness. The survey indicates that an increase in the supply of red grapes relative to green grapes would have no significant effect on consumer perceptions of grape supplies.

- **Chilean grapes are available in U.S. commerce as long as 12 weeks after entering the U.S.**

Chilean grapes are available for sale well after the last ship docks because modern storage methods permit most varieties of grapes to be held for as long as 9 weeks after arrival in the U.S.² Although import inspections start on April 20, non-exempt varieties of Chilean grapes are readily available on U.S. terminal markets through late May and into early June according to USDA Market News Service (MNS) reports.

2. Can we say that the presence of Chilean grapes in U.S. commerce has no effect on prices for Coachella Valley grapes?

A simulation model in the Klett Technical Report attempts to measure several factors affecting the price of California desert grapes in 2001-2005 and concludes that the presence of Chilean grapes had no significant effect on prices received for California grapes. The Alston, *et al* review of the Klett Report identifies several errors in the model, but does not dispute the conclusion. However, the model used a dummy variable for Chilean grapes that indicated only whether they were in commerce or not. There is no measure of the quantity or the quality of Chilean grapes. Thus, seasons or weeks with large quantities of Chilean grapes in commerce are not differentiated from those with minuscule availability. I would hesitate to draw conclusions from such a crude measure.

² Dr. Jim Thompson, University of California at Davis, explained (telephone conversation, July 7, 2005) that Thompson Seedless grapes can be held in storage for up to 5 months, but grapes in storage usually are fumigated weekly with sulfur dioxide, and the label on this product limits use to 12 times. Thus maximum storage time is reduced to 12 weeks. If Chilean grapes are stored one week in Chile before embarkation and spend 2 weeks in transit to the U.S., then 9 weeks of effective U.S. storage time is available after disembarkation. Thus grapes arriving on April 19 could be held in cold storage until about June 20 before being shipped to retail outlets.

Chilean Weekly Grape Inspection Analysis of Nonexempt Grapes 2006
Philadelphia
(in 1,000 18lb boxes)

Week Ending	Total Boxes*	Total Inspections	Passed	Failed	Percentage Passing Inspections	Percentage Failing Inspections	Percentage of Total Boxes Inspected	Percentage of Total Boxes Failing Inspection
March 5, 2006	2,639	414	186	228	45%	55%	16%	9%
March 12, 2006	1,938	1,283	332	951	26%	74%	66%	49%
March 19, 2006	2,382	1,201	382	819	32%	68%	50%	34%
March 26, 2006	3,035	1,063	159	904	15%	85%	35%	30%
April 2, 2006	3,175	1,122	17	1,105	2%	98%	35%	35%
April 9, 2006	3,658	846	357	489	42%	58%	23%	13%
April 16, 2006	2,845	858	23	835	3%	97%	30%	29%
April 17, 2006	473	7	-	7	0%	100%	1%	1%
April 18, 2006	456	9	4	5	44%	56%	2%	1%
April 19, 2006	505	3	-	3	0%	100%	1%	1%
April 20, 2006	67	133	80	53	60%	40%	-	-
April 21, 2006	706	201	115	86	57%	43%	28%	12%
April 22, 2006	31	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
April 23, 2006	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
April 23, 2006	2,237	352	199	153	57%	43%	16%	7%
Total	21,909	7,139	1,655	5,484	23%	77%	33%	25%

Information on boxes passing and failing voluntary inspections was provided by the Fresh Products Branch, Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

Note 1: Data in shaded area represents daily record.

Note 2: Shipment data includes all Chilean grape imports except those exempt under the Table Grape Import Regulation 4, 7 CFR 944.503.

Note 3: According to the Fresh Products Branch of the Agriculture Marketing Service, USDA, in cases where the number of boxes inspected exceeds the number of boxes shipped, the difference can be explained by re-inspections and delayed inspections for shipments that could not be inspected on the day the product entered the port.

*This column is based on aggregate data from the Government of Chile Customs Service

Prepared by Desert Grape Growers League of California


Table Grapes Awareness and Usage Online Study

Report

Prepared for:

Desert Grape Growers

Presented by:



December 2005

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Objectives	2
Methodology	3
Reader Note	4
Conclusions	5
Key Results	7
Appendix	20
• Questionnaire (included in separate file)	

Objectives

The primary objective of this study is to determine consumer awareness and purchase of specific varieties of grapes, and to gauge the importance of variety in the purchase decision.

Methodology

- ♦ Technique: Self-administered Online Survey
- ♦ Target:
 - Adults, 18 years of age or older
 - Have purchased grapes in the past four months
 - Not employed in competitive industry
- ♦ Sample Source: e-Rewards National Panel
- ♦ Sample Distribution: National
- ♦ Sample Size/Quotas: 1,028
- ♦ Questionnaire Length: 3 minutes
- ♦ Incidence: The incidence of finding a qualified respondent was 70%.
- ♦ Interviewing Dates: November 15-16, 2005

Reader Note

- ◆ At the 95% confidence level, the chances are 95 in 100 that survey results will not vary by more than plus or minus the percentage point ranges listed below from the results that would be obtained if all eligible respondents were interviewed.

<u>Sample Size</u>	<u>Margin of Error Range</u>
1,028	± 1.8 – 3.1 percentage points
500	± 2.6 – 4.4 percentage points
300	± 3.4 – 5.7 percentage points
200	± 4.2 – 6.9 percentage points
100	± 5.9 – 9.8 percentage points

- ◆ Significant differences are denoted as follows:

□ = Significantly *higher* percentage

➔ = Significantly *lower* than the “boxed” percentage

Conclusions

Conclusions


- ◆ Consumers are most accustomed to seeing grapes labeled seedless or seeded or by color.
- Seeds and overall appearance are the most important criteria when buying grapes, with price also a factor.
- ◆ Over half of consumers cannot name a variety of grape on an unaided basis, and over one-third do not recognize any varieties when aided with the names.
- Two varieties – Red Globe and Thompson Seedless – are recognized by one-third of consumers when aided.

Key Results

Most consumers report grapes labeled by seedless vs. seeded and by color, with a few noting grape variety name as well.

Typical Labeling of Fresh Grapes

– Aided (Multiple Responses Allowed) –
(Base = Total Responding; n=1,028)




Q1: When you shop for fresh grapes, how are they typically labeled?

The presence or absence of seeds and the appearance of the grapes are the most important factors when choosing grapes, with price also important.

One Factor that is Most Important when Choosing Grapes

— Aided —

(Base = Total Responding; n=1,028)



Q2: When you purchase fresh grapes, which one of the following factors is most important in choosing between the different grapes available at the store?


(D16-2005-01)

9

When all factors used to choose grapes are considered, seeds, appearance and price remain the most important, followed by taste, sweetness and color.

All Factors Considered when Choosing Grapes (Aided)

– First and Other Responses –
(Base = Total Responding; n=1,028)




Q2: When you purchase fresh grapes, which one of the following factors is most important in choosing between the different grapes available at the store?
 Q3: What other factors do you consider?

Nearly half of consumers have noticed grapes labeled by variety name, with Midwestern consumers significantly less likely than all other consumers to have done so.

Noticed Grapes Labeled by Variety

(Base = Total Responding; n=1,028)




Q4: Apples are typically labeled by variety, such as "Red Delicious" or "Granny Smith." Have you ever noticed grapes that are labeled with a variety name (not the color, or whether they have seeds)?

Six in ten consumers cannot name any grape varieties on an unaided basis, with Concord the most often thought of variety.

Unaided Awareness of Named Grape Varieties

(Base = Total Responding; n=1,028)



**Less often than 0.5%


Q5: What named varieties of fresh grapes can you think of, if any?



Over one-third are aware of Red Globe and Thompson Seedless grapes when aided, with over one-third not recognizing any variety names.

Aided Awareness of Grape Varieties

(Base = Total Responding; n=1,028)




**Less than 0.5%

Q6: Have you ever seen or heard of any of the following grape varieties?



Among consumers aware of the variety, Thompson Seedless, Flame Seedless and Red Globe have the highest purchase rates.

Purchase of Grape Varieties



*Caution should be used when interpreting results due to small sample size.

Note: Sample sizes for several varieties are too small to report, including Fantasy Seedless (n=11), Christmas Rose (n=10), Rouge (n=10), Sugarone (n=4), Maroo-Seedless (n=3), NiABEL (n=2)

Q7: Which varieties of fresh grapes have you purchased?



Demographic Profile

(Base = Total Responding)

		Total
<u>Gender</u>		Sample (1,028)
Female		69%
Male		31
<u>Age</u>		Sample (1,028)
18-24		7%
25-34		30
35-44		23
45-54		20
55-64		15
65 and over		5
<u>Ethnicity</u>		Sample (987)
Caucasian		84%
African-American		4
Hispanic/Latin American		4
Chinese		3
Pacific Islander		1
Native American/American Indian		1
Japanese		1
Other		3

QD1: Record gender
 QS1: Which of the following categories best describes your age?
 QD5: Which of the following best describes your ethnic background?

Household Profile

(Base = Total Responding)

	Total Sample (1,028)
<u>Grocery Shopping Responsibility</u>	
Primary grocery shopper	72%
Share responsibility	28
<u>Purchased in Past 4 Months</u>	
Grapes	100%
Lettuce	93
Apples	90
Tomatoes	90
Oranges	72
<u>Children in Household</u>	
Yes	34%
No	66

QS2: Which of the following best describes how you personally shop for groceries?

QS3: Which of the following have you personally purchased in the past 6 months?

QD3: Are there children under 18 years of age in the household?

Education and Income Profile

(Base = Total Responding)

	Total	Sample (1,025)
<u>Education</u>		
High school graduate or less		8%
Vocational, business or technical school	3	
Some college	22	
College graduate	36	
Postgraduate work/degree	31	
<u>Income</u>		Sample (921)
Under \$15,000		5%
\$15,000 but less than \$25,000	5	
\$25,000 but less than \$35,000	11	
\$35,000 but less than \$50,000	18	
\$50,000 but less than \$75,000	17	
\$75,000 but less than \$100,000	17	
\$100,000 or more	26	

QD2: What was the last level of education you have had the opportunity to complete?

QD4: For classification purposes only, which of the following categories best describes your average annual household income before taxes?

State of Residence

(Base = Total Responding)

	Sample	Total (1,028)	30%
Midwest (Net)			
Minnesota	6	6	
Michigan	6	6	
Illinois	5	5	
Ohio	3	3	
Indiana	3	3	
Wisconsin	2	2	
Missouri	2	2	
Iowa	1	1	
Nebraska	1	**	
Kansas	**	**	
North Dakota	1	1	
South Dakota	1	1	
West (Net)			28%
California	14	14	
Arizona	3	3	
Oregon	2	2	
Washington	2	2	
Colorado	2	2	
Nevada	1	1	
Hawaii	1	1	
New Mexico	1	1	
Idaho	1	1	
Utah	**	**	
Montana	**	**	
Wyoming	**	**	
Alaska	**	**	

**Less than 0.5%

QD6: Please enter your city and state.

(continued)



State of Residence (continued)
(Base = Total Responding)

	Sample	Total (1,028)
Northeast (Net)		22%
New York	8	
Massachusetts	4	
Pennsylvania	4	
New Jersey	2	
Connecticut	2	
New Hampshire	1	
Maine	**	
Rhode Island	**	
South (Net)		20%
Texas	6	
Florida	4	
North Carolina	1	
Virginia	1	
South Carolina	1	
Georgia	1	
Maryland	1	
Tennessee	1	
Arkansas	1	
Oklahoma	**	
Washington, DC	**	
Delaware	**	
Alabama	**	
Kentucky	**	
Louisiana	**	
West Virginia	**	

**Less than 0.5%

QD6: Please enter your city and state.

Appendix

Table Grapes Online A&U Study

[Programmer Note: Do not show any question numbers or answer code numbers on the screen, they are for data processing purposes only.]

Screener

S1. Which of the following categories best describes your age? (**Please select one only**)

- 1 Under 18 – [Terminate]
- 2 18 to 24
- 3 25 to 34
- 4 35 to 44
- 5 45 to 54
- 6 55 to 64
- 7 65 and over
- 8 Prefer not to say – [Terminate]

S2. Which of the following best describes how you personally shop for groceries? (**Please select one response**)

- 9 You are the primary grocery shopper for your household
- 10 You share the responsibility for grocery shopping in your household, or
- 11 Someone else does the majority of grocery shopping for your household – [Terminate]

S3. Which of the following have you personally purchased in the past 6 months? (**Please select all that apply**)

- 12 Oranges
- 13 Apples
- 14 Grapes
- 15 Lettuce
- 16 Tomatoes

[Programmer Note: To continue, respondent must have purchased grapes.]

S4. Do you, or does anyone in your household, work for . . . ? (**Please select yes or no for each**)

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Advertising or public relations	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Marketing research firm	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Public relations firm	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Grocery store or other retailer selling fresh produce	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Distributor or grower of fresh produce	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

[Programmer Note: If S4a-d = 1, terminate: _____]

Questionnaire

Awareness of Grape Varieties

Q1. When you shop for fresh grapes, how are they typically labeled? (**Please select all that apply**)

- 17 By color
- 18 By seedless vs. seeded
- 19 By name of grape variety
- 20 By intended use (wine vs. eating)
- 21 Other (**Specify:** _____)

Q2. When you purchase fresh grapes, which one of the following factors is most important in choosing between the different grapes available at the store? (**Please select one only**)

- 22 Appearance
- 23 Color
- 24 Seedless vs. seeds
- 25 Taste
- 26 Texture
- 27 Sweetness
- 28 Nutritional value
- 29 Price
- 30 The grape variety
- 31 Other (**Specify:** _____)

Q3. What other factors do you consider? (**Please select up to three responses**)

- 32 Appearance
- 33 Color
- 34 Seedless vs. seeds
- 35 Taste
- 36 Texture
- 37 Sweetness
- 38 Nutritional value
- 39 Price
- 40 The grape variety
- 41 Other (**Specify:** _____)

Q4. Apples are typically labeled by variety, such as "Red Delicious" or "Granny Smith." Have you ever noticed grapes that are labeled with a variety name (not the color, or whether they have seeds)?

- 42 Yes
- 43 No

Q5. What named varieties of fresh grapes can you think of, if any?

[Programmer Note: Allow for up to 5 separate text boxes, plus a check box for "none"]

Q6. Have you ever seen or heard of any of the following grape varieties? (Please indicate all grape varieties you have ever heard of)

- 44 Autumn Royal
- 45 Beauty Seedless
- 46 Calmeria
- 47 Christmas Rose
- 48 Crimson Seedless
- 49 Emperor
- 50 Fantasy Seedless
- 51 Flame Seedless
- 52 Marroo Seedless
- 53 Niabell
- 54 Perlette
- 55 Red Globe
- 56 Ribier
- 57 Rouge
- 58 Ruby Seedless
- 59 Sugarone
- 60 Thompson Seedless
- 61 Other
- 62 None of these – [Skip to Demographics]

Q7. Which varieties of fresh grapes have you purchased? (Please select all that apply)

- 63 Autumn Royal
- 64 Beauty Seedless
- 65 Calmeria
- 66 Christmas Rose
- 67 Crimson Seedless
- 68 Emperor
- 69 Fantasy Seedless
- 70 Flame Seedless
- 71 Marroo Seedless
- 72 Niabell
- 73 Perlette
- 74 Red Globe
- 75 Ribier
- 76 Rouge
- 77 Ruby Seedless
- 78 Sugarone
- 79 Thompson Seedless
- 80 Other
- 81 None of these

Demographics

Now, I have a few final questions for classification purposes only.

D1. Record respondent gender:

- 82 Male
- 83 Female

D2. What was the last level of education you have had the opportunity to complete? (Please select one only)

- 84 Some high school or less
- 85 High school graduate
- 86 Vocational, business or technical school
- 87 Some college
- 88 College graduate
- 89 Postgraduate work/degree
- 90 Prefer not to say

D3. Are there children under 18 years of age in the household?

- | |
|--|
| <input type="radio"/> 91 Yes – [Continue] |
| <input type="radio"/> 92 No – [Skip to D5] |
| <input type="radio"/> 93 Prefer not to say |

D4. For classification purposes only, which of the following categories best describes your average annual household income before taxes? (Please select one only)

- 94 Under \$15,000
- 95 \$15,000 but less than \$25,000
- 96 \$25,000 but less than \$35,000
- 97 \$35,000 but less than \$50,000
- 98 \$50,000 but less than \$75,000
- 99 \$75,000 but less than \$100,000
- 100 ○
101 ○

\$100,000 or more
Prefer not to say

D5. Which of the following best describes your ethnic background? (**Please select one only**)

- | | | |
|-----|-----------------------|---|
| 102 | <input type="radio"/> | African American |
| 103 | <input type="radio"/> | Caucasian |
| 104 | <input type="radio"/> | Chinese |
| 105 | <input type="radio"/> | Hispanic/Latin American |
| 106 | <input type="radio"/> | Japanese |
| 107 | <input type="radio"/> | Laotian |
| 108 | <input type="radio"/> | Native American/American Indian |
| 109 | <input type="radio"/> | Pacific Islander |
| 110 | <input type="radio"/> | Thai |
| 111 | <input type="radio"/> | Other (Specify) <input type="text"/> |
| 112 | <input type="radio"/> | Prefer not to say |

D6. Please enter your city and state. [**Programmer Note: Provide text box for city and drop-down list of states.**]

Thank you for your valuable opinions!

To Submit Survey: [Click Here](#)

Productores de uva en pie de guerra por medida. de EE.UU.

Exportadores inquietos por medida que busca adelantar la aplicación de llamado "Marketing Order".


AUCIA LECAROS EYZAGIRRE

Una pequeña victoria se anotaron los productores de uva nacionales que enfrentan la amenaza de un cambio en las reglas del juego en Estados Unidos.

El 28 de noviembre pasado, el Servicio de Inspección y Sanidad Agropecuaria del Departamento de Agricultura de Estados Unidos decidió postergar la entrada en vigencia de la medida que modificaba el "Marketing Order", sistema que fija las normas de calidad que las exportaciones deben cumplir entre abril y agosto de cada año.

La idea de los productores del Valle de Coachella, en California, que solicitaron esto, era cambiar la fecha de comercialización de la uva chilena en Estados Unidos desde el 20 de abril que regía hasta ahora al 1 de abril, 10 que constitúa un serio perjuicio para los productores nacionales que debían afrontar nuevos y más complicados requerimientos de calidad que podían complicar sus envíos.

La Asociación de Exportadores de Chile (Asoex) coordinó la defensa legal con la oficina de abogados de Lepon, Holzworth & Kato. "Es un problema serio pero nosotros consideramos que no tenemos competencia con ese valle porque cuando nosotros terminamos la temporada, las ei-



EFFECTOS.- Durante esta temporada que ya comenzó se logró paralizar la medida, pero sus efectos se podrían sentir en 2007.

fras de ellos son poco relevantes en horas de volumen", explica el presidente de Asoex, Ronald Bown.

Los exportadores nacionales consiguieron que se postergara la medida, solicitando que se les entregue información dada por la parte solicitante que no habían tenido oportunidad de evaluar por haber estado sometida a secreto de sumario.

La postergación indefinida de esta medida permitirá que por 10 menos esta temporada de exportación, que ya comenzó y cuyo fuerte es entre febrero y marzo, no se vea afectada. Aunque aún no se sabe qué pasará en 2007. "Se adelantan las inspecciones del 20 al 1 de abril, con lo cual se deben cumplir con requisitos mayores que los habituales y el mayor perjudicado será el con-

¿Qué es?

El Marketing Order es una regulación solicitada por 105 productores estadounidenses que fija las condiciones de calidad, tamaño y madurez que debe cumplir la comercialización interna, así como las importaciones de uva de mesa fresca. En la actualidad rige desde el 20 de abril al 15 de agosto de cada año y es considerada por 105 productores chilenos como un subsidio escondido.

sumidor, que producto de la restricción en los envíos encontrará menos fruta y a un precio mayor", aseguró Bown.

La uva de mesa, con un total de 98 millones de cajas, representa para Chile uno de los principales productos de exportación. De este volumen, el ~6,1% es enviado a Estados Unidos.

Bown aclaró que esta temporada se está desarrollando en forma normal, aunque con algunos atrasos en las cosechas producidas por las lluvias de primavera que enfrentaron los productores desde las regiones IV a la VII. "Hay algo de atrasos, pero en términos generales se visualiza que esta será una temporada normal", enfatizó. La industria también ha debido lidiar con un nivel históricamente bajo, afectando los retomos.

GRAPE PRODUCERS ON A WAR FOOTING DUE TO U.S. MEASURE

Producers concerned over measure seeking to move up date of so-called "Marketing Order".

Caption under photo: EFFECTS – During this season which already began, the measure was successfully stopped, but its effects could be felt in 2007.

Domestic grape producers facing the threat of a change in the rules of the game in the U.S. have scored a small victory. On November 28, USDA's Agricultural Marketing Service (NOTE: actually wrongly translated in the article as the "Agricultural Inspection and Health Service"), decided to postpone the starting date of the measure that modified the "Marketing Order", a system that sets quality standards that exporters must meet between April and August each year. The idea of the producers in the Coachella Valley in California who sought the measure, was to change the marketing dates for Chilean grapes sold in the U.S. from April 20, the effective date until now, to April 1, which would have meant a serious impact on Chilean producers who had to face new and more complicated quality requirements, complicating their shipments.

The Chilean Exporters Association (ASOEX) has coordinated a legal defense with the law office of Lepon, Holzworth & Kato. "It's a serious problem but we believe we don't have any competition in that valley because when we ended our season, their numbers were unimportant in terms of volume", explained Ronald Bown, President of ASOEX. The Chilean exporters succeeded in postponing the measure by requesting that information be provided to them by the requesting party, information they had not had the opportunity to evaluate because it was subject to confidentiality (Note to Bob: I used the word "confidentiality" but in Spanish it's "secreto de sumario" i.e. "summary secret", which is a legal term I'm not familiar with but I assume you will know the equivalent in English). The indefinite postponement of the measure will mean that for at least this season, which already began and whose peak is between February and March, will not be affected. But it's not known what will happen in 2007. "If inspections are moved forward from April 20 to April 1, which means that one has to meet stricter requirements than usual, those most affected will be consumers, who because of the restrictions in shipments will find less fruit at a higher price", asserted Bown. Table grapes, with a total of 98 million boxes, represent one of the principal export products. Of this volume, 61 percent is exported to the U.S. Bown clarified that this season has developed in normal fashion, although with some harvest delays caused by rains affecting producers in Regions IV through VI. "There are some delays, but in general terms, we foresee that this will be a normal season", he emphasized. The industry has also had to deal with a historically low dollar, which has affected returns.

What is it? The "Marketing Order" is a regulation requested by U.S. producers that sets the conditions of maturity, size and firmness which must be met for the marketing of domestic as well as imported fresh table grapes. Currently, it's in effect from April 20 to August 15 each year, and is considered to be a hidden subsidy by Chilean producers.

European Union Monthly Imports of Chilean Table Grapes

1995-2006

(in 18lb boxes)

Year	March	April	May	June	July
1995	1,859,709	2,801,943	2,167,356	830,121	747,189
1996	3,747,863	3,844,369	2,187,801	563,831	50,654
1997	2,978,906	2,867,664	1,059,098	240,602	38,196
1998	2,727,965	2,703,685	1,103,333	238,728	10,474
1999	3,424,696	2,472,993	1,510,094	343,257	109,405
2000	3,394,500	2,259,733	1,835,418	720,435	74,688
2001	3,237,406	2,935,480	1,619,671	335,454	18,216
2002	3,109,565	3,385,288	1,941,870	496,125	107,065
2003	4,053,868	3,397,097	3,258,010	655,632	53,925
2004	5,385,982	3,467,485	2,366,186	524,876	44,553
2005	5,283,707	6,501,222	3,947,624	691,059	76,256
2006	6,272,355	5,610,169	n/a	n/a	n/a

Prepared by the Desert Grape Growers League of California using data from Eurostat.